

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,948	11/03/2003	Ari Karkkainen	4090-9	5027
23117	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			INGHAM, JOHN C	
901 NORTH C ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLC . VA 22203	OOR	ART UNIT F	
	,		2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			THE THE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,948	KARKKAINEN, ARI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John C. Ingham	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	**
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This action is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 34 is/are vectors 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-33 and 35-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vithdrawn from consideratio	n.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	1
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	!
Attachment(s)  I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (F10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/04.	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2814

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the Group II invention, Claims 9 to 33 and 35 to 37, in the reply filed on 1/9/06 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 1-8 and 34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/9/06.
- 3. Applicant is requested to cancel the non-elected claims as part of a complete response to the office action. Cancellation of the non-elected claims would not preclude the later filing of a divisional application on the non-elected invention (please see 35 USC 120 and 121).

# Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT

Art Unit: 2814

(e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims **9-11, 17-18, 26-33, and 35** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gupta (US 5,379,359).

Regarding claim **9**, Gupta discloses an optical assembly (Fig 6) comprising: first and second optical components (laser diode items 38-46, waveguide 60, col 4 ln 30-34), each having an optical confinement region and an optical axis in use, the first optical component having a bonding surface (68); and a shared substrate (62), wherein the first

component is mounted on the shared substrate by means of its bonding surface and the first and second components are supported by the shared substrate such that their respective optical confinement regions are optically coupled in use (col 4 In 30-34) and wherein the first component (diode) comprises a spacing layer (38) which determines the distance from the bonding surface to the optical axis for the first component to achieve said optical coupling in use (col 3 In 54-58).

Regarding claim **10**, Gupta discloses that the shared substrate (62) provides a planar surface (dotted line) on which both first and second components are mounted to achieve optical coupling in use.

Regarding claim **11**, Gupta discloses in Figure 5 an assembly wherein the second optical component (53) has a bonding surface (51) and both the first (Fig 6 items 38-46) and second (53) components are mounted on the shared substrate (Fig 5 item 30, Fig 6 item 62) by means of their bonding surfaces.

With regards to claim **17**, Gupta discloses in Figure 5 wherein the spacing layer (38) provides the whole distance between the bonding surface (68) and the optical confinement region.

Regarding claim **18**, Gupta discloses in Figure 6 wherein the spacing layer (44) provides only part of the distance (partly provided by contact 46) between the bonding surface (68) and the confinement region.

Regarding claim **26**, Gupta discloses that the first component comprises a laser diode (col 3 ln 29).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,948

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 27, Gupta discloses that the laser diode comprises gallium arsenide, a III-V material.

With regards to claim 28, Gupta discloses in Figures 5 and 6 an optical assembly comprising at least first and second optical components mounted in optical alignment with each other, each component comprising at least one layer and a substrate and providing an optical confinement region in use, wherein the optical assembly further comprises a shared substrate (Fig 5 item 30, Fig 6 item 62), the first and second optical components (Fig 6 item 38-46 and Fig 5 item 53) each being mounted so that its optical confinement region lies between its respective substrate and the shared substrate.

Regarding claim **29**, Gupta discloses in Figures 5 and 6 wherein the shared substrate comprises a planar surface on which the first and second optical components are mounted.

With regards to claim **30**, Gupta discloses wherein the first optical component (Fig 6 items 38-46) comprises a spacing layer (44) between the optical confinement region (42) and the shared substrate (62), said spacing layer being of a depth to provide optical alignment (col 3 ln 54-57).

Regarding claim **31**, Gupta discloses wherein the substrate comprised by the first component (30) has different characteristics from the substrate (55) comprised by the second component (30 is GaAs, 55 is LiTaO<sub>3</sub>).

Regarding claim **32**, Gupta discloses in Figure 1 wherein the substrate (22) comprised by the first component (10) has a different depth from the substrate (26) comprised by the second component.

Art Unit: 2814

With regards to claim **33**, Gupta discloses wherein the first component (38-46) is provided with an electrical connection (46) by means of its bonding surface (Fig 6).

Regarding claim **35**, Gupta discloses in Figure 5 an optical assembly comprising at least two components in optical alignment on a shared substrate (30), wherein an optical cladding layer (38) of the first component and a support surface (51) for the second component are provided by areas of a layer fabricated on the shared substrate

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims **12 and 37** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta and Tada (5,684,902).

Regarding claim 12, Gupta discloses the assembly according to claim 11, but does not discloses wherein the distance from the bonding surface to the optical axis for

Art Unit: 2814

the first component is different from the bonding surface to the optical axis for the second component, the shared substrate providing a non-planar surface on which both first and second components are mounted.

Regarding claim **37**, Gupta discloses the assembly according to claim **35**, but not wherein the fabricated layer is discontinuous.

Tada teaches in Figure 1 a structure wherein the substrate (1) has a groove (2) cut into it for mounting of the second component (6), the groove allowing automatic and accurate positioning of the second component (col 1 ln 45-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Tada on the device disclosed by Gupta.

9. Claim **13** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta and Tada as applied to claim12 above, and further in view of Glebov (US 6,922,508). Gupta and Tada do not disclose wherein a glass material having both organic and inorganic components provides the non-planar surface.

Glebov teaches the use of organic/inorganic glass hybrids (col 6 ln 15-20) as cladding layers and substrates (col 4 ln 20) due to its high transparency (col 6 ln 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Glebov (a hybrid glass substrate) on the device disclosed by Gupta.

10. Claims **14-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Blauvelt (US 6,987,913).

Art Unit: 2814

Gupta does not disclose wherein the distance from bonding surface to optical axis for the two components is within 300nm, or 100nm. Blauvelt teaches that the desired objectives of optical junctions are vertical position accuracies of 20nm (col 8 ln 58-59), and teaches a structure of passively aligned photodiodes and waveguides (Fig 20B). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Blauvelt on the structure of Gupta, to mount two optical components with optical regions aligned within 20nm of each other, since optical power transfer can be maintained above the 90% level in this arrangement (col 8 ln 60).

11. Claims **16 and 36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta and Glebov.

Gupta discloses an optical assembly according to claims 9 and 35, but does not disclose wherein the material of the spacing layer (fabricated layer) comprises a hybrid glass material (having both organic and inorganic components). Glebov teaches that cladding layers may be made of glassy hybrid materials (col 6 ln 15-20), since these materials are highly transparent (col 6 ln 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Glebov (a clad layer of glass) on the device disclosed by Gupta.

12. Claims **19-22, 24, and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta and Glebov as applied to claim16 above, and further in view of Nashimoto (US 6,816,660).

Art Unit: 2814

Gupta and Glebov do not disclose wherein the glass material comprises an inorganic matrix provided in part by a metal alkoxide or salt that has been hydrolyzed. Nashimoto teaches that glass may be formed by applying metal salts by a sol-gel method and heated (col 11 ln 32-36), producing an extremely smooth thin film with low light loss (col 11 ln 40- 42). Various types of metals and organic compounds are used in metal salts, including those in groups 3A, 3B, etc. of the periodic table. Nashimoto teaches that the glass material is processed at a temperature ranging from 100° to 500°C.

13. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta, Glebov, and Nashimoto as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Kaneko. Gupta, Glebov, and Nashimoto do not discloses wherein the glass material comprises a thermal initiator to polymerize the glass material. Kaneko teaches a method of making an optoelectronic material comprising a thermal initiator (silane chloride) for polymerization (abstract), which has an easily controllable refractive index (col 3 ln 38-39).

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Ingham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John C Ingham Examiner Art Unit 2814

jci

HOWARD WEISS